XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

22

मामला क्रमांक Cr.M.P.No. 289/2007 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

SB: HON'BLE SHRI DHIRENDRA MISHRA, J.

PETITIONER — Ram Bai W/o. Mansingh Nishad, Age about 57 years, Caste by Kewat, R/o. village-Angari, Tahsil-Balod, Distt. Durg

At present C/o Shyam Pan Thela, in front of Qtr. No. 15, Balod, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

Vs.

RESPONDENT — Man Singh s/o. Thanwar Nishad, Caste by Kewat, age about 65 years, R/o. village-Angari, Tahsil Balod Distt. Durg (CG)

22-8-2007

Shri Jitendra Gupta, Advocate for the applicant.

The applicant has filed the instant petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. against the order dated 21-5-2007 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Balod Distt. Durg in Criminal Revision No. 31/2007 whereby the Criminal Revision preferred by the applicant has been rejected and the order of the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Balod passed in Misc. Criminal Case No. 53/2005 has been upheld whereby the petition of the applicant and one Sumitra Bai under Section 125 of Cr.P.C. has been rejected. Both the Courts below have recorded a concurrent finding of fact that the applicant is retired employee of Bhilai Steel Plant and she received a sum of Rs. 2 – 3 lakhs at the time of her retirement and she has also agricultural land in her parental home and as such, she has sufficient source of

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(23)

मामला क्रमांक Cr.M.P.NO. 289/2007 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

income and she is able to maintain herself. The above finding recorded by both the Courts below is a concurrent finding of fact and the learned counsel for the applicant could not point out any illegality or infirmity in the impugned orders passed by both the Courts below, which calls for any interference by this Court in exercise of Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

The petition being devoid of substance is liable to be dismissed and it is dismissed.

Sd/-
DHIRENDRA MISHRA
Judge